

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/595,275	NAKATANI ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		KAJ K. OLSEN	1795

All Participants:

(1) KAJ K. OLSEN.

(2) Andrew St. Clair.

Date of Interview: 18 December 2009

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

7-10 and 40

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted the applicant's representative to indicate the only art rejection the examiner was prepared to maintain was the rejection of claim 40. The examiner also pointed out that claims 7-10 depended from a cancelled claim. The applicant's representative approved of an examiner's amendment that places the limitations of claim 43 into claim 40 and corrected the dependence of claims 7-10.